

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trader & Office

Address: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO./ FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. PATENT IN REEXAMINATION

09/446,691

12/27/99

DAINESE

695-110P

002292

QZ11/0323

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH

8110 GATEHOUSE ROAD

SUITE 500 EAST

FALLS CHURCH VA 22042

EXAMINER

LEWIS, K

ART UNIT PAPER

03/23/01

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Please find attached communication from examiner regarding non-responsive amendment and for your convenience, a copy of an informational flyer (MPEP Bookmark Bulletin on "Simplified Amendment Practice")

Monica Graves, Patent Analyst

for: Kim K. Lewis Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3761 Application/Control Number: 09/446691

Art Unit: 3761

Response to Amendment

1. The reply filed on 3/12/01 is not fully responsive to the prior Office action because of the

following omission(s) or matter(s): 1). the applicant has failed to provide a marked-up copy of the

prior pending claims with all changes shown by a conventional comparison system; and 2). both

the clean amended claim and the marked-up version should have the same expression:"amended",

"twice amended", etc. in parentheses after the claim number. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the

above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE (1)

MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer,

within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment.

EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

3/19/01

kml

KIM M. LEWIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU 3741

B

Changes to the Patent Rules

October 20, 2000

Volume 1, Issue 3

This is the third in a series of Patent News Bulletins to assist you in keeping up to date with significant rule changes which affect your area. Keep this copy to use as a bookmark for your present MPEP, or view this bulletin again on the USPTO Website.



Simplified Amendment Practice.

Replacement paragraphs/sections/claims to be used. 37 CFR 1.121

The rule package Changes to the Patent Business Goals - Final Rule," published in the Federal Register on September 8, 2000, 65 Fed. Reg. 54603 (Sept. Gazette on

September 19, 2000, 1238 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 77 (September 19, 2000). The PBG rule oackage makes a numbei of revisions to Title 37.

The entire final rule may be found at the USPTO Website at http:// www.uspto.gov/web/ offices/dcom/olia/pbg/ index.html.

Areas and individuals primarily affected by this rule change include: (1)Patent Examiners and Tech Support Staff in the Technology Centers (2) Office of Patent Publication

Any questions related to this change in practice should be directed to Joe Narcavage, Special Projects Exr., (703-305-1795) or Liz. Dougherty, Legal Advisor, (703-306-3156) OPLA.

Mandatory compliance with the revised rule is not required until March 1, 2001. It is suggested that applicants adopt the revised procedures on or after November 7, 2000, in order to adjust to the changes in amendment practice.

Under the new amendment practice, amend-8, 2000), and the Official ments to the specification must be made by the submission of clean new or replacement paragraph(s), section(s), specification, or claim(s). This practice will provide a specification (including claims) in clean, or substantially clean, form that can be effectively captured and converted by optical character recognition (OCR) scanning during the patent printing pro-

> The new practice requires applicant to provide, in addition to the clean version of a replacement paragraph/section/claim, a marked-up version using applicant's choice of a conventional

marking system to indicate the changes, which will aid the examiner in identifying the changes that have been made. The marked-up version must be based on the previous version and indicate (by markings) how the previous version has been modified to produce the clean version submitted in the current amendment. The term "previous version" means the version of record in the application as originally filed or from a previously entered amendment.

The following format is suggested in an amendment paper: (1) a clean version of each replacement paragraph/section/claim with clear instructions for entry; (2) starting on a separate page, any remarks/arguments (37 CFR 1.111); and (3) starting on a separate page, a marked-up

version entitled "Version with markings to show changes made."

Applicants will also be able to submit a clean set of all pending claims, consolidating all previous versions of pending claims from a series of separate amendments into a single clean version in a single amendment paper. This submission of a clean version of all of the pending claims will be construed as directing the cancellation of all previous versions of any pending claims. No marked-up version will be required to accompany the clean version where no changes other than the consolidation are being made.

> The amended rule encourages issuance of applications with an examiner's amendment without practitioners/applicants having to file a formal amendment. Additions or deletions of subject matter in the specification, including the claims, may continue to be

made in an examiner's amendment at the time of allowance by instructions to make any change at a precise location in the specification or the claims. An examiner's amendment may incorporate a printed copy of a fax or email amendment submitted by applicant. Only that part of the e-mail or fax directed to a clean version, or a portion of, a paragraph/ claim to be added should be printed and attached to the examiner's amendment, with a paper copy of the entire e-mail or fax being entered in the file. The electronic version of the e-mail is not required to be saved once the printed e-mail (and any attachments) becomes part of the application file record.

Amendment by paragraph/claim replacement in clean form.

MPEP 714+ & 1302.04



This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

D	efects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
	BLACK BORDERS
	☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
	☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
	☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
-	☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
	☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
	☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
	LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
	REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
	OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.